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## I. Abstract

Michael H. Bischoff $>$ retired engineer for Microwave Technology at the Technical University Berlin, Germany enthusiastic golf player and interested in physical and/ or mathematical problems - sometime just playing around as in this case.

$\mathrm{m}=3$
$\mathrm{n}=1 . .2$
remainder $=4$

$\mathrm{m}=9$
$\mathrm{n}=1 \ldots 5$
remainder $=26$

$\mathrm{m}=15$
$\mathrm{n}=1$... 8
remainder $=21$ - free area in white!

Squaring a square is the intention to fill up a larger square by a set of smaller squares, such that the remaining open area in the larger square becomes a minimum. Several authors (ref. [1 ... 3]) has given hints how to fill up a given square with edge length $m$ by different rules.

For examples use all squares from edge length 1 to a given number $n$ or use a minimum number of selected smaller squares to fill up the larger one by a nice tiling. Based on this simple geometrical task you will find in your free time a very nice series of paired squares (see ref. [1 ... 3] and attachment 3).

For a given variable $k$ the remaining size/ area (not used by the smaller squares within the larger square with edge length $m$ ) will be a minimum. The simple equations which describe this behaviour are in strict contradiction to the huge squares. And more important there are always two neighboured solutions with the same minimum remaining part.

## II. Index Terms

Square, tiling, paired solution

## III. Squares and more

The tiling of a square with edge length $m$ by several other smaller squares with edge length 1 to $n$ should be the basis for this task. The following examples with four smaller squares with $n=1 . . .4$ to be integrated in the larger square with $m=6$ is a good basis to explain the full task.


The area of all smaller squares has to be arranged in a larger square with area $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ in such a way that the remaining part will be a minimum. The above mentioned example with $\mathrm{m}=6$ and $\mathrm{n}=1$... 4 leads to an outer square of $m^{2}=36$ to be filled by $n^{2}=1^{2}+2^{2}+3^{2}+4^{2}=30$. The remaining open part is in theory 6 , but nevertheless it is not possible to reach this minimum filling due to the fact that the square with $n=3$ or 4 could not be integrated. The remaining part (in white) will be larger and becomes in practice 22 or 15.

The following equation 3.1 describes the squaring of a square with a remaining part.
In fact it is more the task finding the correct sum of square numbers which will be equal with a certain remainder to another "outer" square number.

$$
m^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} i^{2}+\text { remaining part }=1^{2}+2^{2}+3^{2}+\cdots+n^{2}+\text { remaining part }
$$

The first and only perfect square number will be reached for $m=70$ and $n=1 \ldots 24$. In this case the remaining part will be zero (because $m^{2}=4900$ and the sum of $1^{2}+2^{2}+3^{2}+\ldots+24^{2}$ become also 4900). Even if this number seems to be perfect - from calculation point of view - the geometric reality will be different. In practice it is not possible to fill up the larger square $m=70$ with all smaller squares from $n=1 \ldots 24$. The best solution could be achieved if you leave the $n=7$ square beside (see the example on front page).

For all squares until $m=2337238$ and $n=1 \ldots 25400$ there will be no more a perfect solution of a larger square number equal to a sum of smaller square numbers.

## IV. Pair of Square numbers

The calculation of the above mentioned equation is very simple and straight forward. Just prepare a table like beside one, use n as variable and calculate the necessary m as indicated in the following steps:
a.) calculate sum of $n^{2}$
b.) square root out of sum
c.) round up this $m$ value
d.) size of outer square $\mathrm{m}^{2}$
e.) and as final result calculate
the remaining part of the above mentioned equation.

You will easily find the perfect solution for $\mathrm{n}=24$

And you will realize that from time to time surprisingly a pair of square number exist where the remaining part is a minimum (as indicated in green).

This minima could be numbered with an index from 1 (starting for the pair with $n=47 / 48$ ) until $k$

The remaining part becomes in relationship to the larger square number smaller and smaller.

For example the remaining part of 400 for $k=20$ st square with an edge length $m=1536060$ has a relative value of $1,6 \times 10^{-10}$, which is really a very small value.

Attachment 1 is showing a graph of the remaining open part of square numbers in a larger square number as function of
 used smaller square number n .

Table 1: remaining part as a function of edge length n and m .

## V. Solution of "inner" Square numbers in an "outer" Square number

The following table is the result of above demonstrated simple calculation and sum up all the results with k as an index for the paired squares numbers with value $n$ for the smaller inner square numbers and value $m$ for the larger outer square number.


Table 2: Overview of paired square numbers within a larger square number in accordance to equation (3.1)
Surprisingly you will find extremely simple relationship between the index k and all other figures.
I. The missing remaining part is always

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Remainder }=\mathrm{k}^{2} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

II. The outer square number $\mathrm{m}^{*}$ and m has obviously a difference of 6 k

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { delta } m=6 k \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

III. The first outer square number has a value of $m$, the second one

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{*}=m-\text { delta } m \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

IV. Per definition (or observation) the smaller inner square number has a value of

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{*}=n-1 \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

V. The remaining part is always a constant factor of $n$

$$
\text { n = } 48 \text { Remainder }
$$

and based on equation 5.1 n will be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}=48 \mathrm{k}^{2} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

VI. The value of the outer square number $m$ will be as follow

$$
\text { with } m^{2}=\sum n^{2}+\text { Remainder }=\sum n^{2}+k^{2}
$$

and $m^{* 2}=\sum n^{* 2}$ Remainder $=\sum n^{* 2}+k^{2} \quad$ with $n^{*}=n-1 \quad$ and $m^{*}=m-6 k$
$(m-6 k)^{2}=1^{2}+2^{2}+3^{2}+\ldots+(n-1)^{2}+k^{2}$
$m^{2}=1^{2}+2^{2}+3^{2}+\ldots+(n-1)^{2}+n^{2}+k^{2}$
the difference will be

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
(m-6 k)^{2}-m^{2}=-n^{2} & \text { with } n=48 k^{2} \text { follows } \\
m^{2}-12 k m+36 k^{2}-m^{2}=-\left(48 k^{2}\right)^{2} \\
m=\left[\left(48 k^{2}\right)^{2}+36 k^{2}\right] / 12 k & \\
m=\left(4^{2} 12\right) k^{3}+3 k &
\end{array}
$$

and therefore $m$ will be easily calculated as follow

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=3 k\left(64 k^{2}+1\right) \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

With these simple equations you are in the position to find easily larger square numbers which will be the sum of smaller square numbers with a minimum remainder.

## VI. Summary

The tiling of a larger square with an edge length $m$ by a series of smaller squares from edge length 1 to $n$ was the basis for an interesting search and the behaviour of square numbers.

Based on this simple geometrical task you will find an easy relationship for the $k^{\text {th }}$ pair of square number which will lead to a minimum remainder of $\mathrm{k}^{2}$

The calculation of square numbers leads to four series for $n$ and $n *$ as well as for $m$ * and $m$ as a function of an index $k$.

Two of them are already known in OEIS (see ref. 4 and 5), but are calculated on a different basis.

## A1: Graph of Remaining part



Figure 1: Remainder for certain square number n and m as calculated by equation 3.1


Figure 2: Paired square numbers for $n=1199 / 1200$ and the corresponding remaining part of 25.
The red marked minima are the solution of equation 3.1 and represents always a pair $n ; n^{*}$ and m; m*
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## A3: One additional example of Squares in a Square

| Large outer Square with | $m=117$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Smaller inner squares with | $n=1 \ldots 34$ |
| Remaining part, optimum | 4 area units |
| Remaining part, best | 85 area units, without square $n=9$, just $0,62 \%$ unused area size |


square with $\mathrm{n}=9$ not used


