

AFTER having collected in Part I<sup>8</sup> some exact information concerning the partition function  $f$  of the two-dimensional Ising model we wish to present in this paper some approximate methods of our own and compare their results with the exact information available and other well-known approximate schemes.

Before proceeding let us recall the notation. We denoted by  $\lambda$  the partition function per spin, i.e., for  $N$  spins we have

$$f = \lambda^N(K). \quad (37)$$

The parameter  $K$  is the only variable on which  $\lambda$  depends. It combines the coupling energy  $J$  and the temperature  $T$  in the form

$$K = J/2kT. \quad (38)$$

The knowledge of  $\lambda(K)$  is not sufficient for the computation of the magnetic properties of the model, but it permits calculation of the thermal quantities, particularly the total energy  $E$  and the molar specific heat  $C$  [Eqs. (17) and (18)].

## 5. POWER SERIES DEVELOPMENTS OF $\lambda$

The energy of our system can be obtained by elementary reasoning in both the very high and very low temperature region.

At high temperatures (i.e.,  $K=0$ ) the spins orient themselves at random regardless of coupling forces. We conclude, therefore, by

<sup>8</sup> H. A. Kramers and G. H. Wannier, Phys. Rev. **60**, 252 (1941), this issue.

direct inspection of Eq. (1) that

$$E(0) = 0.$$

By a similar reasoning we find for large  $K$

$$E(\infty) = -NJ.$$

Equations (2) and (37) then permit the computation of  $\lambda$  in these two extreme cases. We find

$$\lambda(0) = 2, \quad (39)$$

$$\lambda(\infty) \approx e^{2K}. \quad (40)$$

Either one of these two limiting formulas can be continued by a power series. The continuation of (39) is the well-known development of  $\lambda$  in powers<sup>9</sup> of  $1/T$  which in our case means powers of  $K$ . If we carry out this development in Eq. (2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \sum_{\mu_i = \pm 1} [1 + K \sum_{\langle i,k \rangle} \mu_i \mu_k + \frac{1}{2} K^2 (\sum_{\langle i,k \rangle} \mu_i \mu_k)^2 + \dots] \\ &= 2^N [1 + K \langle \sum_{\langle i,k \rangle} \mu_i \mu_k \rangle_{Av} + \frac{1}{2} K^2 \langle (\sum_{\langle i,k \rangle} \mu_i \mu_k)^2 \rangle_{Av} + \dots] \end{aligned}$$

The averages are quite elementary to evaluate because they are to be taken at infinite temperature, that is, regardless of coupling. They are expressions containing various powers of  $N$ . But when we raise  $f$  to the power  $1/N$  in accordance with (37) these powers disappear. Thus we find for  $\lambda$

$$\lambda = 2 \left( 1 + K^2 + \frac{4}{3} K^4 + \frac{77}{45} K^6 + \frac{1009}{315} K^8 + \dots \right). \quad (41)$$

<sup>9</sup> W. Opechowski, Physica **4**, 181 (1937).