

# Maple-assisted proof of formula for A196742

Robert Israel

6 Oct 2019

There are  $5^8 = 390625$  configurations for a  $2 \times 4$  sub-array, but not all can arise.

We encode these configurations as lists in the order  $\begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 \\ x_5 & x_6 & x_7 & x_8 \end{bmatrix}$  Let  $b_0 = 2, b_1 = 3,$

$b_2 = 1, b_3 = 0, b_4 = 4$ . If  $x_i = j$ , then the neighbours of site  $i$  in the sub-array that have value  $b_j$  must be either  $j$  or  $j - 1$ .

```
> b[0]:= 2: b[1]:= 3: b[2]:= 1: b[3]:= 0: b[4]:= 4:
  goodconfig:= proc(x) local t;
    t:= numboccur(b[x[1]], [x[2],x[5]]);
    if t < x[1]-1 or t > x[1] then return false fi;
    t:= numboccur(b[x[2]], [x[1],x[3],x[6]]);
    if t < x[2]-1 or t > x[2] then return false fi;
    t:= numboccur(b[x[3]], [x[2],x[4],x[7]]);
    if t < x[3]-1 or t > x[3] then return false fi;
    t:= numboccur(b[x[4]], [x[3],x[8]]);
    if t < x[4]-1 or t > x[4] then return false fi;
    t:= numboccur(b[x[5]], [x[1],x[6]]);
    if t < x[5]-1 or t > x[5] then return false fi;
    t:= numboccur(b[x[6]], [x[2],x[5],x[7]]);
    if t < x[6]-1 or t > x[6] then return false fi;
    t:= numboccur(b[x[7]], [x[3],x[6],x[8]]);
    if t < x[7]-1 or t > x[7] then return false fi;
    t:= numboccur(b[x[8]], [x[4],x[7]]);
    if t < x[8]-1 or t > x[8] then return false fi;
    true
  end proc;
  Configs:= select(goodconfig, [seq(convert(5^8+i,base,5) [1..8], i=
  0..5^8-1)]);
  nops(Configs);
```

1512

(1)

There are 1512 allowed configurations.

Consider the  $1512 \times 1512$  transition matrix  $T$  with entries  $T_{ij} = 1$  if the first two rows of a  $3 \times 4$  sub-array could be in configuration  $i$  while the last two rows are in configuration  $j$ , and 0 otherwise. The following code computes it.

```
> Compatible:= proc(i,j) local Xi,Xj,k;
  Xi:= Configs[i]; Xj:= Configs[j];
  if Xi[5..8] <> Xj[1..4] then return 0 fi;
  if numboccur(b[Xi[5]], [Xi[1],Xi[6],Xj[5]]) <> Xi[5] then return
  0 fi;
  if numboccur(b[Xi[6]], [Xi[2],Xi[5],Xi[7],Xj[6]]) <> Xi[6] then
  return 0 fi;
  if numboccur(b[Xi[7]], [Xi[3],Xi[6],Xi[8],Xj[7]]) <> Xi[7] then
```

```

return 0 fi;
if numboccur(b[Xi[8]], [Xi[4], Xi[7], Xj[8]]) <> Xi[8] then 0 else
1 fi;
end proc:
T:= Matrix(1512, 1512, Compatible):

```

Thus for  $n \geq 2$   $a(n) = \frac{u^T T^{n-2} v}{2}$  where  $u$  is a column vector with 1 for configurations whose first row could be a top row, 0 otherwise, and similarly  $v$  has 1 for configurations whose second row could be a bottom row.

```

> u:= Vector(1512, proc(i) local x; x:= Configs[i];
if numboccur(b[x[1]], [x[2], x[5]])=x[1]
and numboccur(b[x[2]], [x[1], x[3], x[6]]) = x[2]
and numboccur(b[x[3]], [x[2], x[4], x[7]]) = x[3]
and numboccur(b[x[4]], [x[3], x[8]]) = x[4] then 1 else 0 fi
end proc):
v:= Vector(1512, proc(i) local x; x:= Configs[i];
if numboccur(b[x[5]], [x[1], x[6]]) = x[5]
and numboccur(b[x[6]], [x[2], x[5], x[7]]) = x[6]
and numboccur(b[x[7]], [x[3], x[6], x[8]]) = x[7]
and numboccur(b[x[8]], [x[4], x[7]]) = x[8] then 1 else 0 fi
end proc):

```

To check, here are the first few entries of our sequence (apart from  $a_1$ , which doesn't really fit the pattern, although it does work with the recurrence).

```

> Tv[0]:= v:
for n from 1 to 32 do Tv[n]:= T . Tv[n-1] od:
> A:= [seq(u^%T . Tv[n], n=0..32)];
A := [7, 71, 401, 2923, 19567, 134507, 919377, 6283897, 42987489, 293903925,
2009922151, 13744157339, 93985887721, 642701573055, 4394944856905,
30053778082923, 205515234410701, 1405365233289259, 9610242269541951,
65717262620421205, 449391242181726185, 3073050779567818717,
21014297307438194435, 143701071568534457665, 982664215871735137459,
6719706057700845919781, 45951046927195676789363, 314224862776603781877735,
2148748961894868779941811, 14693688018580354100210337,
100479149223628856949536391, 687101796087103520553493563,
4698575593385785360547261561]

```

Now here is the empirical recurrence formula. It says that  $u^T T^n Q(T) v = 0$  for all nonnegative integers  $n$ , where  $Q$  is the following polynomial.

```

> n:= 'n': empirical:= a(n) = 3*a(n-1) +26*a(n-2) +16*a(n-3) -99*a
(n-4) +2*a(n-5) +81*a(n-6) -330*a(n-7) +340*a(n-8) -212*a(n-9)
+124*a(n-10) +81*a(n-11) -30*a(n-12) +5*a(n-13) -3*a(n-14) -a
(n-15);
Q:= unapply(add(coeff((lhs-rhs)(empirical), a(n-i))*t^(15-i), i=0.
.15), t);
empirical := a(n) = 3 a(n - 1) + 26 a(n - 2) + 16 a(n - 3) - 99 a(n - 4) + 2 a(n - 5)
+ 81 a(n - 6) - 330 a(n - 7) + 340 a(n - 8) - 212 a(n - 9) + 124 a(n - 10)
+ 81 a(n - 11) - 30 a(n - 12) + 5 a(n - 13) - 3 a(n - 14) - a(n - 15)
Q := t ↦ t15 - 3 t14 - 26 t13 - 16 t12 + 99 t11 - 2 t10 - 81 t9 + 330 t8 - 340 t7 + 212 t6
- 124 t5 - 81 t4 + 30 t3 - 5 t2 + 3 t + 1

```

To complete the proof, we verify that  $Q(T)v = 0$ .

```
> Qv:= add(coeff(Q(t),t,j)*Tv[j],j=0..15):
```

```
> Qv^%T . Qv;
```

0

(4)